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Why I support my Society

Monica Nevins
Vice President - Ontario

To Alan Kelm, a dear soul whose devotion to the CMS was boundless

It seems appropriate homage to our late colleague—the CMS’s IT guru—to reflect upon how and why I have supported my Society over the years. My time with the CMS has given me great satisfaction, and a sense of being part of something larger than myself.

I became a member of the Canadian Mathematical Society when I took up my first postdoctoral fellowship, at the University of Alberta. In graduate school in the USA, I’d received a free membership to the American Mathematical Society and learned of a larger community I’d never imagined existed. It was natural for me to sign up to the CMS, and later the Association for Women in Mathematics (AWM); it gave me an identity, even when I didn’t know if I had earned the title of “mathematician” yet.

For many years, as I established in parallel my career and my family, my membership in the CMS was a wonderful accessory: I sometimes read the Notes for news of my profession, and I always looked forward to seeing what sessions were planned at the biannual meetings, in case I could make it. Within my department, I enjoyed helping out at the annual CMS Math camp, grateful that others were creating these wonderful opportunities for young mathematical minds.

As my university career settled into routine, I found myself looking for more: a new challenge, new things to learn. At the same time, I felt a need to start giving back, and to make my community better for the next generation. At my university, I took on administrative positions, organized research workshops and took greater roles in outreach and mentorship. But I eventually felt the limits of the impact of this work, and wanted more: more impact, more opportunities, more reach, and a larger community to learn from... and this is what the CMS has given me.

I’ve served on the Board of Directors, and more recently as a Vice-President on the Executive Committee. I’ve served on several committees, working with amazing and passionate colleagues. I signed on as Scientific Director of the CMS summer 2020 75th anniversary meeting plus one 2021 summer online meeting and the (please, oh, please) 2023 summer meeting in Ottawa.

In my roles, I’ve had incredible opportunities, like representing the Mathematical Community to NSERC, and to members of my Provincial Parliament, on behalf of the CMS. As a member of the CMS Equity, Diversity and Inclusiveness committee, I helped usher in the open call for abstracts that is now a part of our biannual meetings—a change we hope will welcome even more of our community to the CMS. And on a personal note, I think I’ve visited more Canadian cities for the purpose of attending a CMS meeting than for any holidays!

I also learned a lot about what the Society does. For example, the Math Olympiad is the most prestigious math competition in the world and our Team Canada has done extremely well over the years. I had taken for granted this was a government (or at least government-sponsored) program—but no, it’s the Canadian Mathematical Society that undertakes the whole thing: from recruiting and selecting and training the team, to handling the logistics of sending them to represent Canada, halfway across the world.

For another, the CMS manages and funds a massive network of Math Camps for school-age children, run by local volunteer organizers who are devoted to inspiring our next generation of mathematicians. It’s a diverse collection—each camp has its own target population (from students on the spectrum, to students in the North, to students in a particular grade) and style (from weekend camps to day camps and week-long overnight camps). But it is through the CMS and these signature Math Camps that these individual efforts are amplified to a national community of mathematical outreach.

Volunteering to serve as Scientific Director for a summer meeting was a step up for me. A huge motivation was pride in my university—wanting to show off our new math building, and showcase the diversity, richness and success of our collective mathematical research portfolio. At first, I worried about the work involved—I’ve hosted small mathematical workshops at my university, and the logistical problems always took up about ten times what I’d prepared for. But the CMS meeting machine is well-oiled, and I have great joy in focussing on the mathematical aspects of this national conference instead.

I’m a lifetime member of the CMS, and a proud annual donor. I view my donation as an investment in the future—such as the new House of Mathematics!—ensuring that the next generation will have this sense of community that I have loved so much. The CMS is not a stagnant institution: it grows and changes and evolves with the needs and initiatives of its members, of its leadership team (including particularly Executive Director...
Termeh Kousha, whose innovations these past few years have reset the CMS on a successful path) and of the members of its many committees, each working on a part of the whole.

My support is not entirely selfless. My department and Dean recognize my contributions to the Canadian Mathematical Society, and this gives me greater voice when I argue for a cause, at any level. I have met and worked with colleagues from across Canada, in all mathematical disciplines, and I benefit from having such an extraordinary network to tap into. Through the CMS, I have opportunities to take a leadership role in causes that matter to me, at a national level. And certainly not least, I am deeply honoured to have been named a Fellow of the CMS.

Thinking of becoming more involved in your Society, of investing in the future of our Canadian mathematical community? Here are some ideas, for a variety of tastes:

- Sign up graduate students as student members; there’s a discount if it’s part of your department’s institutional membership;
- Read the CMS Notes and contribute articles about what matters to you;
- Submit your research articles to the journals of your Society;
- Propose a CMS Math camp, and inspire the next generation;
- Organize sessions at CMS conferences (including the lovely one in Ottawa next summer!), and encourage your graduate students to attend;
- Apply to join a CMS committee, working with colleagues from across Canada on a cause that matters to you.

In the end, I support my Society because of the people that form it. I am proud to be part of this joint venture with my fellow committee members and with the devoted office staff—who are just now settling into the new House of Mathematics... with its newly christened Alan Kelm Server Room.
On the morning of November 8th a total eclipse of the moon was visible across Canada. In Nova Scotia it happened a little before sunrise, a convenient time for viewing. So I woke up early and looked out the window: the moon's disc was already half darkened, with a faint coppery glow just visible on the eclipsed half. I woke my wife, and we watched through binoculars as the rest of the moon slid into shadow. While the half-disc that we saw at first did not look very different from an ordinary waxing half-moon (except that it was a week late), as the eclipse progressed the shape was not the familiar crescent but almost a perfect segment, one edge straight and one curved.

At totality, the moon looked startlingly three-dimensional— the soft sunset light that still lit it showed its shape in a way that stark sunlight never does. And then the sun rose, the sky lightened, and the ochre ball faded into the dawn sky like a Cheshire cat.

A beautiful sight: and it prompted me to think about the geometry involved. It's clear that a full moon is a necessary condition for a lunar eclipse (and a new moon for a solar eclipse): but why isn't it sufficient? The answer, of course, is that the moon's orbit around the earth is not in the same plane as the earth's orbit around the sun. The three bodies can line up only when the moon crosses the ecliptic plane (that's why it's called that!). So eclipses ought to happen twice a year.

And, roughly, that's what happens. But the plane of the moon's orbit precesses over about 18.6 years, so the interval between eclipses is just a bit less than six months. And sometimes the moon isn't full quite as it crosses the ecliptic: a near miss gives a partial eclipse, a bigger miss an almost-undetectable "penumbral eclipse." Solar eclipses, which require the three bodies to line up to a much higher precision, follow similar cycles but with fewer "hits." It gets complicated!

These interacting cycles rapidly lead us into number theory. And they've had that effect on people for a long time: witness the vocabulary associated with eclipse chronology. "Saros cycle," "exeligmos," " draconic month": these are names, if not from Harry Potter, certainly from antiquity. After our ancestors had got used to predicting the return of the various seasons, predicting eclipses was the obvious next project.

And I presume that that's why Euclid's _Elements_, though primarily a work on geometry, detours for four of its thirteen books into number theory— rather than, say, calculus or the theory of quadratic equations. Number theory answered an important question— "when will the next eclipse be?"— of interest to all. So it's possible that eclipses go some way to explaining why one of the best-known results in the _Elements_, — the only one to which Euclid's name is widely attached — is an algorithm in number theory.
Why Everyone Loves History of Mathematics . . . But Philosophy of Mathematics is an Acquired Taste

Thomas Drucker (University of Wisconsin-Whitewater)

Statistics suggest that history of mathematics is perhaps three times more popular among mathematicians than philosophy of mathematics (based on membership in relevant groups). Those who wear both hats are likely to find larger audiences at their historical talks than at their philosophical ones. Unless one is inclined to argue that historians are more genial by nature than philosophers, there is likely to be something about the disciplines and how they are practiced that leads to the disparity. Recent work in both fields suggests that the gap is not likely to be narrowed, although some attempts are being made.

History (as it is taught) is often a matter of stories about what happened, the kind of things that the late Ivor Grattan-Guinness described as ‘heritage’ [5]. Such stories have an appeal that goes beyond the question of whether they are, in fact, history. Luring students into an area can start with a judicious choice of story, even if such tales have to be told with mental reservations.

Once the audience is there, one can proceed to disabuse it of some of those stories. Getting to ‘what really happened’ is harder work than passing along stories. A good example is Tony Rothman’s essay ‘Genius and Biographers’ [9]. He takes down the image of Galois as built up by Eric Temple Bell [1] and Leopold Infeld [6] and tries to leave the historical Galois in its place. I have yet to encounter a student who preferred Rothman’s account to Bell’s, but historians admit that ben trovato stories might be just the lure to get students interested enough to work harder.

Figure 1. Evariste Galois (1811–1832). Wikimedia Commons.

Philosophy, on the other hand, addresses certain kinds of questions, and it is simply more difficult to get students to acknowledge an interest in some of those areas. One can trot out terms like ‘metaphysics’ or ‘epistemology’ without seeing light in the eyes of listeners. When students sign up for philosophy courses, they are often looking forward to the kind of pop philosophy that
populates so many discussions of medical ethics. Even writers as felicitous as Bertrand Russell can be a hard slog compared to what is expected.

Further, convincing arguments in philosophy can be complicated. Gödel's case for the incompleteness of *Principia Mathematica* and 'related systems' has been rephrased many times, but it is not bedtime reading. Then again neither are many mathematical arguments, and one might think that mathematicians, in particular, would find the philosophical use of detailed arguments quite familiar.

---

**Figure 2.** First edition of Whitehead and Russell's *Principia Mathematica*. Bertrand Russell Archives.

What explains the lack of appeal to many mathematicians of the kind of argument that shows up in the setting of philosophy of mathematics isn't only the reader's looking for something lighter. It can be argued that the reason for wading through long arguments such as the classification of finite simple groups is that one ends with a conclusion that is indisputable. The same could apply to, say, Andrew Wiles's proof of Fermat's Last Theorem. In mathematical reasoning, one reaches the top of a mountain.

By contrast, after a heavy dose of closely reasoned philosophy, the conclusion at which one arrives is likely to be the object of a symposium in which various reasons for doubting it are advanced. After the letter Russell wrote to Frege in 1902 pointing out the paradox named for him, one might have thought (with Frege) that his system was in ruins. The prevalence of neo-Fregean attempts to resuscitate the Fregean system (see, for example, John Burgess's *Fixing Frege* [2], as well as many papers by Crispin Wright and Bob Hale) shows that Frege may have been buried prematurely. In view of the shortage of knock-down arguments in philosophy, the value of investing many hours of effort in any alternative system can be questioned. Those who spent their time working through *Principia Mathematica* may have decided against similar investments in other systems, e.g., W.V.O. Quine's New Foundations.

Another consideration that may go some distance to explain the relative popularity of history over philosophy within mathematics is the areas of mathematics on which those two disciplines are characteristically brought to bear. There are histories of mathematics at large. There are histories of specialties within mathematics (like algebra or analysis). There are histories of sub-specialties...
within the specialties (like combinatorial group theory or Fourier analysis). Mathematicians working in any area can look back at the history of that area to see what has led to the current state of knowledge.

Philosophy of mathematics does not cast its net so widely. For centuries, arithmetic and geometry may have been the sole subjects of philosophical interest. With the rise of calculus, the issue of how to provide a suitable foundation became a matter of philosophical interest, and generally questions about foundations became central to investigations into philosophy. More recently, there has been a parting of the ways among philosophers between further work in foundations and more general philosophical interest in mathematics. Those working in philosophy departments are ready to turn back to arithmetic in a search for answers to questions about metaphysics and epistemology. Those working in mathematics departments are more likely to be pursuing issues such as whether set theory is a satisfactory foundation for mathematics at large.

Tastes in mathematics do make a difference in the kind of question at the center of such foundational discussions. The ascendancy of category theory, including its increasing presence in undergraduate and graduate curricula, certainly contributed to the appeal of its use as a foundation for mathematics, in competition with the traditional role of set theory. Readers of that kind of discussion should have picked up some of the language and techniques of both areas in order to make a comparison. However, if the question is which approach makes for the more satisfactory foundation, it is not clear that an answer even exists. In the meantime, perhaps there is some chance of making category theory more appealing to nonphilosophers, as in the recent work of Eugenia Cheng [3], but it is too early to predict the extent to which her readership will increase the audience for philosophy talks. Similarly, as long as the philosophical view known as 'structuralism' remains of interest, category theory will continue to be relevant. Leo Corry's *Modern Algebra and the Rise of Mathematical Structures* [4] gives a historical background for the philosophical arguments.

![Diagram of a category by IkamusumeFan, CC BY-SA 4.0, Wikimedia Commons.](image)

After all, there have been similar situations where there is not an obvious way to make a choice between two different approaches to an area. In the nineteenth century, the prolonged discussion of the merits of non-Euclidean geometry tended to involve the argument that Euclidean geometry was better for the mind. In the twentieth century, the conflict between constructability and Cohen's indiscernibles with respect to resolving the Continuum Hypothesis has not had a resolution. This outcome seems more typical of the resolution (or absence thereof) of philosophical arguments than of mathematical ones.

If we turn to examining biography, history again comes off as more appealing. It is possible to write dully about the life of a mathematician, but there are plenty of examples of the contrary, e.g., Siobhan Roberts’s *Genius at Play* [8], which does not attempt to do justice to all the aspects of J.H. Conway's mathematics but which successfully lures readers into Conway's mind with examples of his approach to a variety of topics. The lives of mathematicians are parts of their subject's history.

In contrast, the lives of philosophers of mathematics are not themselves monuments of philosophy. Ever since Diogenes Laertius's *Lives and Opinions of Eminent Philosophers* was criticized as not even good history, let alone sound philosophy, there has been reluctance to turn to the life of a philosopher for revelation of the nature and value of his or her thought. A look at philosophical autobiography (e.g., that of W.V.O. Quine [7]) suggests that even a philosopher may not be able to tell a life story with philosophical content.
The deck seems stacked against the philosophers. Historians can tell good stories to interest audiences in what actually happened. Those historians can point to monuments of enduring value in the mathematics of the past. Historians can tell the lives of mathematicians like Gödel who displayed oddities in many situations. Philosophers do not have anything similar to offer.

Yet, for those attracted to the inherently challenging nature of the subject, philosophy offers intellectual rewards and professional opportunities. Particularly good news is that philosophers of mathematics in philosophy departments outnumber historians of mathematics in history departments. As a result, mathematicians with philosophical interests can usually readily secure places on the programs of philosophy meetings, even if the audiences turning out for such sessions during mathematics conferences remain relatively small in comparison to those in the rooms offering history.

Thomas Drucker studied history of mathematics at Princeton under Michael S. Mahoney and at Toronto under Kenneth O. May. He has been Chair of the Philosophy of Mathematics Special Interest Group of the Mathematical Association of America. He retired from teaching at the University of Wisconsin–Whitewater in 2021.
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An Invitation to Eventmath

Greg Stanton

Education Notes bring mathematical and educational ideas forth to the CMS readership in a manner that promotes discussion of relevant topics including research, activities, issues, and noteworthy news items. Comments, suggestions, and submissions are welcome.

John McLoughlin, University of New Brunswick (johngm@unb.ca)

Kseniya Garaschuk, University of Fraser Valley (kseniya.garaschuk@ufv.ca)

“Mathematical literacy is an individual’s capacity to reason mathematically and to formulate, employ, and interpret mathematics to solve problems in a variety of real-world contexts” (Programme for International Student Assessment, 2022).

“Mathematical literacy involves more than executing procedures. It implies a knowledge base and the competence and confidence to apply this knowledge in the practical world” (Ontario Ministry of Education, 2020).

1. What is Eventmath?

Eventmath is a new open-access wiki for math lesson plans based on current events. Each lesson plan is inspired by a news article, social media post, or video.

Eventmath is also a small but growing international community. Our aim is to help students wield math as a tool for understanding their world. We’re building something big, and we want you to be a part of it!

2. Why is Eventmath necessary?

The need for Eventmath is based on three observations.

First, misinformation and disinformation are global threats. Canada is no exception: According to a research report from Evidence for Democracy, a non-partisan not-for-profit organization funded by the Government of Canada, “Misinformation and disinformation are ongoing threats to the health and safety of the Canadian public, as well as the basis of democracy” (Heer et al., 2021).

In early 2021, Evidence for Democracy surveyed 180 academics at Canadian institutions. The survey sample was drawn primarily from within their network, which is focused on evidence-based policy. They found wide consensus among respondents that the magnitude of misinformation would only increase in the future, and that addressing misinformation was part of their role as...
academics.

Second, mathematical literacy is crucial to countering misinformation and disinformation. In 2020, a study out of Cambridge was published in *Royal Society Open Science*, based on data collected from at least 700 participants in each of five countries (Roozenbeek et al., 2020). The analysis showed that out of fourteen predictors—including variables such as age, education, and political ideology—the most consistent predictor of decreased susceptibility to misinformation about COVID-19 was performance on numeracy tasks. When asked about the finding for a story in *The Guardian*, coauthor Dr. Sander van der Linden replied that “it gives me hope that there’s a solution out there” (Grover, 2020).

If Eventmath is to be a solution, we must start by recognizing that mathematics and mathematical literacy are distinct. As explained in the Cambridge study, “the construct of numeracy does not merely measure mathematical ability but captures the ability of individuals to understand and use quantitative information more broadly.” Accordingly, the researchers assessed numeracy with questions placed in a real-world context. Here is a typical question, which was borrowed from an earlier study (Schwartz, MD, MS et al., 1997):

“In the BIG BUCKS LOTTERY, the chance of winning a $10 prize is 1%. What is your best guess about how many people would win a $10 prize if 1,000 people each buy a single ticket to BIG BUCKS? ____ person(s) out of 1,000.”

Although the Cambridge researchers assessed only basic numeracy skills, they also demonstrated the value of advanced quantitative skills in combating misinformation. After all, they had a large data set with many variables; without higher-level concepts such as multiple linear regression, they would not have discovered the special role played by numeracy. So, for the purposes of Eventmath, it’s convenient to include both basic skills and advanced skills under the umbrella of mathematical literacy.

But can Eventmath help us teach advanced skills? It can. That’s because scientific research is often covered in the media, whether it’s in a tweetorial on Twitter (Gero et al., 2021) or in the science section of a news publication. For example, the Cambridge study cited above was covered by a story in *The Guardian*. Using that story as a jumping-off point, an Eventmath lesson plan could ask students to locate the original research. Since the data were all made publicly available, students could even be asked to reproduce the results on their own!

Third, media sources provide special opportunities for building mathematical literacy. To be clear, mathematical literacy demands that students not only solve problems within an authentic context, but also that they identify problems worth solving, and that they make a habit of doing so. Let’s consider how teaching from media sources addresses each of these requirements. A media source encountered in everyday life is, ipso facto, authentic. And as Watson (2004) noted, “rarely does an article actually state a ‘problem’ in the form students would expect from their experience with text books [sic]. There is hence the opportunity for problem posing as well as problem solving.” Lastly, media sources “provide a venue for continued practice” (Madison, 2014). Practice is key to habit formation.

To build the necessary habits, the general theory of habit formation suggests that students must repeatedly engage in mathematical thinking “in the presence of a cue or set of cues (i.e., context) so that cue-behavior associations may develop” (Gardner & Rebar, 2019). The media sources are the cues that students will continually encounter outside of the classroom. We need more longitudinal studies to evaluate this line of reasoning, but the limited evidence available so far is encouraging (Madison, 2014).

The difficulty, as Ceesay (2011) puts it, is that “assembling a cornucopia of interesting articles can be a daunting task.” Current attempts at addressing this difficulty are based around textbooks and static websites. Unfortunately, textbooks are expensive, they quickly become outdated, and they’re limited in scope and depth. Existing web resources are scarce and they lack robust feedback mechanisms.

The imperative is clear: we must develop accessible teaching materials based on authentic media sources. Math educators are already present in nearly every school, at every level; they are well positioned to teach mathematical literacy at scale. With the right resources, they can help students combat misinformation in their own lives.

### 3. Who makes Eventmath?

![Wikimedia Foundation](https://www.wikimedia.org)

![Wikiversity](https://www.wikiversity.org)

![Eventmath](https://www.eventmath.org)

**Nonprofit organization**

**Wiki for education**

**Wikiversity project**
Let's start with Wikipedia. The online encyclopedia is one of the five or ten most trafficked websites in the world (Semrush, 2022; SimilarWeb, 2022). It's volunteer driven, free of charge, free of ads, and a tremendous source of information when used wisely. In fact, media literacy experts say it's often fact checkers' first stop (McGrew et al., 2017).

This is all possible because of the Wikimedia Foundation, which is the nonprofit charitable organization that hosts Wikipedia, as well as other projects such as Wikiversity. Wikiversity runs on the same software as Wikipedia, but instead of encyclopedia articles, it hosts learning and teaching resources. Actually, if you already have a Wikipedia account, then that account is good on Wikiversity too.

Eventmath is situated within Wikiversity, thanks to a grant from the Wikimedia Foundation. So, Eventmath is built on solid ground, and it's ready to scale. That scaling will happen because of a community of mission-oriented educators and researchers. In short, you make Eventmath.

4. What's in an Eventmath lesson plan?

Lesson plans range from bite-sized warm-up quizzes to detailed notes for full class periods.

To facilitate browsing, each lesson plan features an overview box at the top, listing vital information such as assumed knowledge, estimated class time, and a link to the media source. If the source contains misinformation, the lesson plan will include a mathematical refutation, but plenty of reliable sources also make for good lesson plans. Below the overview box, there are suggested sections for activities, assignments, and resources.

Last, but certainly not least, is a feature that's only possible on an interactive website: an endorsement button. This provides a quality signal for those wishing to choose a lesson plan, since it allows educators to leave comments based on classroom experience.

But, this isn't Amazon. Not only are the products free, but also users can make them better! Every lesson plan comes with an attached discussion page. So, instead of leaving a negative review, educators are encouraged to leave constructive feedback, or to be bold and improve the lesson plan themselves.

5. What topics does Eventmath cover?

- **Math types:** Arithmetic, Algebra, Geometry, Calculus, Probability, Statistics...
- **Event types:** Business, Culture, Economics, Education, Government, Health, Science...

The categories may be construed broadly. For example, applications of differential equations may be placed in the calculus category. Other categories can easily be added, however advanced they may be.

To illustrate the power of the platform, links to ten lesson plans from the community are provided below. You, dear reader, can make one of them better right now!
Dimensional analysis, shipping, and an impossible weight limit
Comparing streaming service pay rates to artists
Proportions and voting power under the Electoral College
White House chart exaggerates economic growth
Simpson's Paradox in COVID vaccine efficacy data
California and New York cannot actually decide the popular vote
Estimating the cost of preventing climate breakdown
Medium versus large pizzas

*Dimensional analysis, shipping, and an impossible weight limit* is almost sure to blow your mind! It was developed by a participant at an Eventmath workshop.

*Comparing streaming service pay rates to artists* is also worth pointing out, and not just because students love it. This lesson plan is based on a tweet from an artist with nearly a million followers on Twitter, and she retweeted the lesson plan when it was shared on Twitter. The moral? Misinformation can spread, but so can quantitative literacy.

**6. What are the use cases for Eventmath?**

There are more possibilities than limitations. You may find some inspiration below.

- **Projects**: Anyone can select a single lesson plan for a student project, without having to purchase a whole book.
- **Supplements**: We can create pages with curated lists of daily warm-ups, to supplement courses on traditional math subjects at any level.
- **Full Curricula**
  - **Quantitative literacy**: We can create pages with curated lists of links to lesson plans that comprise curricula for semester-long courses on quantitative literacy.
  - **Journalism**: We can build a course on quantitative methods for journalists, such as COMM-260 at American University. For example, lesson plans can ask students to find errors in published stories, in line with the model statistics course proposed by Martin (2017). Does your institution have such a course? If not, why? It’s critical that we don’t forget about the supply side of the information market (Ranney et al., 2008; Harrison, 2020).
  - **Education**: Pre-service teachers can publish a lesson plan as part of their coursework, and during practicum, they can implement it!

**7. How does Eventmath help educators?**

How about a holiday metaphor? ’Tis the season, after all. Eventmath is essentially a cookie exchange! If each educator brings just one educational treat to this party, we can all leave with a tin full of goodies.

Whatever the season, Eventmath is designed to make things easy.

**Easy to find:**

The site itself already takes the top spot in a Google search for “math lesson plans based on current events.” Within Eventmath, educators can use filters to search for lesson plans at the intersection of multiple categories (e.g. calculus, government, 45-60 minutes). And they can browse a self-updating directory organized by categories relevant to them.

**Easy to use:**

All lesson plans are fully open access.

**Easy to share:**

Like any webpage, the lesson plans are easy to share through social media, email, or a personal website. If you’ve started a lesson plan yourself, you could share it to find collaborators, or to invite others to use and possibly endorse it. Or, you can download a PDF version for printing. Since it’s a wiki, you can link to your history of contributions as well.

**Easy to cite:**

If you’ve polished a lesson plan to your liking and want to link to that particular version, you can do that easily; you can even generate a citation for it in your preferred format, by clicking “Cite this page.”

**8. How can I contribute to Eventmath?**

There are a variety of small ways to make a big impact. On the Eventmath site, these are continually organized in a prominent **Tasks** page.
For example, let’s imagine you have a rough idea for a lesson plan, but you’re short on time. If you have a possible title and a media source, then that’s enough! You can click “Create lesson plan” to publish your idea. When you do, a link to the page you created will automatically appear in a directory of drafts on the Tasks page, under categories you select. Then, other educators will be able to build on your idea.

There are many other valuable ways to participate. Here are a few:

- Use lesson plans in the classroom
- Add feedback or endorse lesson plans based on classroom experience
- Share lesson plans on social media with the hashtag #Eventmath
- Share Eventmath with colleagues
- Link to Eventmath (linking from any site helps with discovery and search)
- Provide peer review of lesson plans

However you participate, you’re welcome to create a short profile for yourself or your organization on the Eventmath Participants page. This helps others to see a role for themselves within the project! Speaking of roles…

9. What opportunities does Eventmath offer?

According to Wikimedia’s Leadership Development Working Group, “Leaders are considered a key success factor for any project” (“Leadership,” 2022). If you’d like to help pioneer Eventmath, there are opportunities for leadership in a range of areas.

```
Events
- Edit-a-thons
- Peer review
- Training
- Conferences

Outreach
- Schools, departments
- Press
- Social media

Content
- Coverage of topics
- Newsletter
- Standards mapping

Scholarship
- Quantitative literacy
- Curriculum design
- Assessment

Design
- User experience
- Information architecture
- Aesthetics
- Accessibility

Coordination
- Project management
- Strategy
- Recruitment
```

The current focus is developing a community and a critical mass of content, as defined in our original grant proposal. Going forward, we expect to shape leadership roles as a community. If you’re interested, please reach out!

10. How can I stay updated about Eventmath?

To find out about workshop dates, major updates, and other exciting news, you can fill out our community form!
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Numerous books on prime numbers have been published over the years, as a quick search of MathSciNet will show. These books range from expositions for the general reader (for instance, *The Music of the Primes* by Marcus du Sautoy) through higher-level expositions (e.g., Paulo Ribenboim’s *The Little Book of Bigger Primes*) to more specialized graduate-level textbooks (e.g., *The Distribution of Prime Numbers* by Dimitris Koukoulopoulos) and numerous research monographs on special topics related to primes. There is even a graphic detective novel, *Prime Suspects*, by

*The Life of Primes in 37 Episodes*

by Jean-Marie De Koninck and Nicolas Doyon

American Mathematical Society, 2021


Reviewed by Karl Dilcher

Karl Dilcher, Dalhousie University (notes-reviews@cms.math.ca)
The reader will have noticed that three of the names mentioned above are prominent Canadian number theorists. The book under review, The Life of Primes in 37 Episodes, was also written by well-known Canadian number theorists, Jean-Marie De Koninck and Nicolas Doyon of Laval University.

In their Preface, the authors recall a key idea from the movie Contact (based on Carl Sagan's novel), namely the universality of prime numbers and mathematics as a universal language. To explain the book's title, the authors then state the thought that once primes are defined, they begin a life of their own: "The mysteries surrounding primes begin multiplying just like living cells reproduce themselves, and there seems to be no end to it." This will explain the title of the book, and it may or may not be a coincidence that 37 is a prime.

The purpose of this book is best explained by further quoting from the Preface: "Many number theory books include the study of prime numbers. Most of them were written for teaching purposes, and others for the pleasure of the general public. [...] So why another book on primes? Our monograph offers a somewhat different perspective. Besides covering some of the most important results regarding prime numbers, we present a range of problems number theorists are currently working on and the references that will allow the curious reader to further investigate some of these problems. Moreover, we selected topics related to primes that will appeal to those mathematicians who wish to enrich their general mathematical culture. We have also chosen to present the topics in a chronological order, as they have emerged throughout history.

"Although we do not claim to provide a thorough history of number theory, we do shed light on the humans who contributed to the life of primes. Indeed, history does help understand how mathematical results evolved over time. [...] Indeed, theorems do not pop up suddenly. They are for the most part the final outcome of many attempts by various mathematicians. This is why in this book we also write about the people behind the results, mentioning their successes and sometimes their failures."

The character and contents of this book are also well described by the text on the back cover, which partly reads, "This monograph takes the reader on a journey through time, providing an accessible overview of the numerous prime number theory problems that mathematicians have been working on since Euclid. Topics are presented in chronological order as episodes. These include results on the distribution of primes, from the most elementary to the proof of the prime number theorem. The book also covers various primality tests and factorisation algorithms."

The book contains a brief (6-page) timeline, covering advances in the theory of prime numbers from the Sieve of Eratosthenes of around 300 BCE to results from 2018. Throughout the book there are also short biographies of the key players in the history of number theory, often accompanied by interesting anecdotes.

The book is organized along five general themes, which are further divided into a total of 37 episodes. These themes are as follows:

1. Counting primes, the road to the prime number theorem.
2. Counting primes, beyond the prime number theorem.
3. Is it a prime?
4. Finding the prime factors of a given integer.
5. Making good use of the primes and moving forward.

I will just mention a few of the episodes as examples. Theme 1 begins with Euclid's proof of the infinitude of primes, as one would expect (An Infinite Family, Episode 1), and ends with an outline of an elementary proof of the prime number theorem (Episode 13). Theme 2 begins with Sieve Methods (Episode 14), and also contains Small and Large Gaps Between Consecutive Primes (Episode 17), which has gained particular prominence in recent years. As their titles indicate, Themes 3 and 4 contain the most important primality tests and factorisation methods in six, resp. seven episodes. The two episodes comprising Theme 5 are Cryptography: From Julius Caesar to the RSA Cryptosystem (Episode 36), and The Present and Future Life of Primes (Episode 37).

Each episode ends with a number of interesting problems, some of which are quite challenging. An appendix of over 50 pages contains hints, sketches, and solutions to selected problems. A final appendix lists some basic results from number theory, algebra and analysis; they may be helpful to the reader as quick reference.

This is a beautiful book, very well written and edited. It should appeal to number theorists as well as interested mathematicians in other fields. It is also a rich source of supplementary readings for any undergraduate or graduate course in number theory.
It is with profound sadness that the Canadian Mathematical Society (CMS) shares the news of the passing of our colleague Alan Kelm, Electronic Services Manager.

Alan was born in Regina and grew up in Saskatoon. He excelled in languages and remained a hobby linguist throughout his life, learning Greek, Hebrew, Latin, German, Romanian, Polish, French, and Russian. In 1984 he moved to Ottawa to get his PhD in Mathematics, where he met his beloved wife, Angie. They married in 1992 and celebrated their 30th anniversary on August 29, 2022. Alan taught university classes for a time before beginning his career at the Canadian Mathematical Society, where he has been an integral component for almost 3 decades. His tireless work and dedication have helped us grow and learn as an organization. Alan knew the inner workings of the CMS by heart and could always be counted on to help (and teach) new colleagues.

Many knew him as the Electronic Services Manager at the Canadian Mathematical Society, but he was much more than that to the staff at the Executive Office. Alan was a part of our family, a dear colleague, and friend. He will be deeply missed by all who had the pleasure of working with and knowing him.

Alan's colleagues wished to share their fond memories of him:

Alan was the heart of the CMS. His intelligence, compassion, and mentorship fostered a positive working environment. He was a wonderful colleague and a dear friend to many of us. We are deeply saddened by this loss and will miss him greatly. He adored his family, and to them I wish to extend my deepest sympathies. — Termeh Kousha, Executive Director

We are profoundly saddened by the loss of our dear friend and colleague. Alan had been a pillar for the CMS for well over two decades, steadfastly working with dedication to manage our electronic systems and support our other operations. We will miss him deeply. To his beloved family, we extend our most heartfelt condolences. — David Pike, President

I had the pleasure of working with Alan for 19 years. He was one of the most caring and gentle people I know. It was comforting to know he was there to help whenever needed. I appreciated Alan's dedication, attention to detail and sage advice. Alan will be dearly missed. — Yvette Roberts, Finance and Operations Manager

Alan Kelm was an extremely dedicated member of the CMS Executive Office for over 25 years. He made many contributions to the significant growth of the CMS over this time, particularly as Manager of the CMS Electronic Services. He was always there to go above and beyond in so many ways. He will be greatly missed as a colleague and as a dear friend of the Society. — Graham Wright, Former Executive Director

I knew Alan since he was a graduate student at the University of Ottawa. I had the pleasure to teach in parallel with him on many occasions. I got to know how much he was loved and respected by students and colleagues alike. He always had a solution for any problem and faced every challenge with a reassuring smile. I also worked with him on many projects for the CMS and got to appreciate his deep devotion for the CMS and for what it represents. Alan passing is a big loss for his family, the CMS and the Canadian mathematical community. Rest in peace, my friend. — Joseph Khoury, Math Camps Committee Chair

There are no words to express the sorrow on Alan’s passing. I worked with him for almost 14 years and during that time he was always so helpful and knowledgeable while being professional and friendly. Alan ran a kind soul, and he will be sorely missed by all who had the pleasure of working with him. Rest in peace, Alan. — Denise Charron

Alan was always a joy to work with and had such a calming quiet presence. He knew everything about the CMS and could recall people he worked with decades prior and always had something nice to say about every one of them. He could recall every minute detail about a project and often came up with questions that nobody else would ever have thought of. Alan never left a detail to chance and put so much thought into every email and project he worked on. Everyone can recall the very long emails from Alan where he wrote every piece of information you would need. He was caring and always offered praise and acknowledgments when someone was working hard on a particular project. He always made me feel like what I did mattered and would always be sure to check on my well-being when working longer than usual hours. It was clear that he cared. Alan loved the CMS and put his everything into supporting the CMS. He was more than an employee, he was a real piece of the CMS and a great force behind all the CMS initiatives over the last 30 years whether it was a meeting you attended, or a competition you wrote, Alan was behind them all. He will be greatly missed by the entire CMS community. — Sarah Watson

Though Alan is no longer with us, he will live on through the wonderful legacy that he has created and in the memory of all who had the pleasure of knowing him.
Mathematician and activist Chandler Davis refused to answer questions in 1953 when called before the House Un-American Activities Committee (HUAC), which was investigating allegations of communist activity in the United States. Unlike most of the “uncooperative” witnesses, he invoked the First Amendment of the U.S. Constitution, which guarantees free speech, rather than using the Fifth Amendment’s protection against self-incrimination.

Deeply committed to his beliefs, Professor Davis wanted to establish a precedent that HUAC had no right to question witnesses about their political affiliations. He knew that he risked being cited for contempt of Congress and jailed, but he wanted to raise awareness of the dangers of HUAC.

Prof. Davis was consequently fired from his job teaching mathematics at the University of Michigan.

On Dec. 3, 1959, the Supreme Court refused to hear his case and he surrendered to serve six months in prison.

He continued his research before, during and after his incarceration, and retained his sense of humour throughout. A footnote to a mathematics paper that he wrote while incarcerated reads: “Research supported in part by the Federal Prison System. Opinions expressed in this paper are the author’s and are not necessarily those of the Bureau of Prisons.”

During the years between his dismissal from Michigan and his imprisonment, he applied for many different positions but was consistently declined. It became apparent that he was blacklisted; the blacklisting continued even after he got out of jail in 1960.

In 1962, with support from the renowned Canadian mathematician H.S.M. Coxeter, Prof. Davis accepted a position as professor of mathematics at the University of Toronto. He lived in Canada for the following six decades, distinguishing himself as an unusually inspiring and principled man.

On Sept. 24, Prof. Davis died in Toronto at the age of 96 from a probable stroke.

Prof. Davis was a devoted husband, father and grandfather, a noted mathematician, an extremely dedicated political activist, an author of science fiction stories, a staunch feminist and a fine poet and composer. He never seemed defeated by or bitter about the obstacles he encountered. He worked tirelessly toward a more egalitarian world, participating in many progressive activities throughout his long life.

Horace Chandler Davis was born on Aug. 12, 1926, in Ithaca, N.Y., the eldest of five children of Marian R. Davis and Horace Bancroft Davis. His parents were economists whose political views were very left-wing. Like Chandler, his father was fired from his position at a university because he refused to answer questions asked by HUAC.

Chandler (also known as Chan) received his PhD in mathematics from Harvard University in 1950 prior to joining the University of Michigan’s Department of Mathematics.

When he finally arrived at the University of Toronto, after being incarcerated and blacklisted in the United States, he flourished. He was an excellent teacher, supervised 15 doctoral theses and continued to make significant research contributions to mathematics.

“Chandler Davis was a very respected mathematician whose research included important contributions to linear algebra and to operator theory, two areas that I have also worked in,” Dalhousie University professor emeritus Heydar Radjavi says. “His work is often cited and relied upon by researchers across the world.”

Prof. Davis’s teaching inspired many students to become mathematicians.

“I admired him greatly,” writes James Arthur, University Professor and Mossman Chair at the University of Toronto who served a term as president of the American Mathematical Society. “His course in real and complex analysis, which I took as a third-year undergraduate at Toronto, was a transformative experience for me, and, I would say, for every other student in the course.”
Prof. Davis was a left-wing radical who participated in a huge number of progressive causes, both on campus and off. One such initiative was co-founding the Faculty Reform Caucus (FRC), a group of progressive U of T professors who engaged in several forms of protest, including rallying support for striking teaching assistants and other workers.

“When former U.S. president George [H.W.] Bush was being awarded a U of T honorary degree,” recalled U of T professor emeritus Richard Lee, “Chandler co-organized a protest where 18 FRC faculty, led by him and Ursula Franklin, stood up and ostentatiously walked out at a key moment in the ceremony.”

Prof. Davis also opposed the Vietnam War and was chairman of the Toronto Anti-Draft Program. He was active in Science for Peace and often participated in the Toronto Vigil against the Occupation of the Territories. He regularly attended the Davis-Markert-Nickerson Lecture on Academic and Intellectual Freedom, established in the 1990s by the University of Michigan Faculty Senate in answer to the university's treatment of faculty who had been attacked by HUAC, including Prof. Davis himself.

Prof. Lee also recalls “Chandler’s tireless efforts to support persecuted academics around the world, local, national, U.S.-based or overseas. He was a key link in an international network of protest and truth-telling; he brought many U of T colleagues on board.”

Prof. Davis’s last such effort took place about 11 weeks before his death. From his hospital bed, he co-organized and spoke at an online event in support of imprisoned dissident Russian mathematician Azat Mitakhov.

Prof. Davis began his talk, “It’s a pleasure to welcome you to this panel in support of our young colleague Azat Mitakhov and other political prisoners; in support, in particular, of Russians courageously speaking out against the war, and, more generally, in support of freedom of conscience and peace. It means a lot to me to be opening this session because I have a special bond to Azat Mitakhov. I was a political prisoner myself, years ago, not in Russia but in the USA. I was not much older than he is now; like him I had a wife standing by me outside; and like him I tried to go ahead doing mathematics while in prison. It was hard, but not as hard as Azat’s imprisonment, and it was only half a year.”

He often raised political issues within the community of mathematicians. Many mathematicians resented such activities, arguing that it was wrong to “politicize” mathematics.

But he also had ardent supporters, including Mary Gray, Distinguished Professor of Mathematics and Statistics at American University, who in 1971 became the founding president of the Association for Women in Mathematics. She called Prof. Davis's death "a great loss."

“Many mathematicians came to recognize the inequities in the mathematics community reflecting those in the world abroad, but Chandler was one who worked to do something about it and understood that it is necessary to engage others,” Prof. Gray said. “When women mathematicians came to understand that organizing was essential were the situation ever to improve, it was Chandler who joined and, in some cases, inspired the movement that led to the Association for Women in Mathematics.”

As implied by Prof. Gray, Prof. Davis was a very staunch feminist. He and his wife, the distinguished historian Natalie Zemon Davis, agreed that their marriage would be based on gender equality. They shared the care of their three children, even during periods of their lives when they held professorships at universities on opposite sides of North America, he at Toronto and she at the University of California, Berkeley and then Princeton.

When Chan turned 65, he was mandatorily retired and became professor emeritus. That did not change his life very much. He still maintained his research, taught some courses, and supervised PhD students. He continued to serve as editor of the Mathematical Intelligencer, a scholarly journal.

In 2010, Josh Lukin compiled and edited It Walks in Beauty, a compilation of some of Chan's essays and stories. This book is in the Aqueduct Press series of Heirloom Books, which aims to bring back into print and preserve work that has helped make feminist science fiction what it is today.

"Chan retained his love of and interest in life right up to his last day,' his son, Aaron Davis, said. “He thought about his passing and of the passing of ideas and creativity between the generations and he expressed these beautifully in his poetry and songs. He also spoke through his actions – by example.”

His daughter Simone Davis recalls his insatiable curiosity about the world and all manner of natural phenomena, creative expressions and human struggles. “To me, the reason Chandler was so stalwart about supporting struggles for justice, freedom and equity was his love for the world,” she said. “His political life, including when he found himself an outlier or offered a hand to outliers, was as brave as it was because he loved the hell out of this world, and was ready to stand up on the basis of that love. Others felt invited by him to do likewise, I’ve been learning since he’s passed. I’m so grateful he was my father.”

Prof. Davis leaves his wife, Natalie Zemon Davis; son, Aaron Davis; daughters, Hannah Davis Taieb and Simone Davis; four grandchildren and one great-grandchild; and his sisters, Mina Caulfield and Terry Davis.

"This article originally appeared in the Globe and Mail; online on 13 October, 2022 and in print on 15 October, 2022. It is reprinted with permission of the author.
The Canadian Mathematical Society (CMS) welcomes and invites education session proposals for the 2023 CMS Summer Meeting in Ottawa from June 2th to 5th, 2023.

This year, the education session proposals will be selected by the CMS Meeting Education Session Committee, which will also schedule the accepted sessions, in communication with their co-organizers.

Each proposal should follow the guidelines indicated in the call for Scientific Sessions. In addition, organizers are asked to specify the structure of their session (e.g., 20-minute talk followed by 5 minute Q&A and 5 minute transition; or a panel, or interactive session/workshop, etc.).

In parallel to the Scientific Session Proposals, there will be two rounds of submissions. Proposals submitted by Wednesday, December 14th, 2022 will be considered in the first round, with responses early in January. The deadline for the second round will be February 28th, 2023.

Email education sessions proposals (and any questions) to:

Andie Burazin a.burazin@utoronto.ca

With Monica Nevins mnevins@uottawa.ca, Aaron Tikuisis Aaron.Tikuisis@uottawa.ca, and the CMS Office meetings@cms.math.ca in cc.
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The Canadian Mathematical Society (CMS) welcomes and invites session proposals for the 2023 CMS Summer Meeting in Ottawa from June 2 to 5, 2023.

- Sessions are scheduled in 2.5-hour blocks and take place June 3-5, 2023. Typical scientific sessions have between 10 and 20 talks of 30 minutes each but 1-hour talks are possible.
- In accordance with the CMS mandate to propose conferences which are accessible and welcoming to all groups, diversity amongst organizers and speakers is strongly encouraged. To support organizers in their important work and in their efforts towards inclusivity and diversity, the CMS will host an open call for abstracts for all sessions, and asks organizers to consider all eligible abstract submissions for their session.
- Diversity includes topics of interest, career stages, geographic location, and demographics; designated underrepresented groups include, but are not limited to, women, Indigenous Peoples, persons with disabilities, members of visible minority/racialized groups, and members of LGBTQ2+ communities.
- Note that there will be a separate follow-up call for Education Sessions.

Proposals should include:

1. Names, affiliations, and contact information for all session co-organizers. Early career researchers are encouraged to propose sessions.
2. A title and brief description of the topic and purpose of the session. This can include a brief overview of the subject. Include a two to three sentence summary that will be posted on the website for potential speakers.
3. The number of 2.5 hour blocks expected, with a list of possible speakers.

Proposals will be selected by the Scientific Organizing Committee, limited by available classroom space, with priority for sessions that show intention to include a mix of senior and junior researchers, to make parts of their session accessible to graduate students, and to include speakers from designated underrepresented groups.

Deadlines:

There will be two rounds of submissions. Proposals submitted by Wednesday, December 14, 2022 to the Scientific Directors with the CMS Office in cc will be considered in the first round, with responses early in January. The deadline for the second round will be February 28, 2023.

Monica Nevins mnevins@uottawa.ca
Aaron Tikuisis Aaron.Tikuisis@uottawa.ca
CMS Office: meetings@cms.math.ca
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The CMS Blair Spearman Doctoral Prize recognizes outstanding performance by a doctoral student.

Nominations are currently welcomed for the 2023 CMS Blair Spearman Doctoral Prize. Deadline: January 31

The prize is awarded to one recipient of a Ph.D. from a Canadian university whose overall performance in graduate school is judged to be the most outstanding. Although the dissertation will be the most important criterion (the impact of the results, the creativity of the work, the quality of exposition, etc.) it will not be the only one. Other publications, activities in support of students and other accomplishments will also be considered.

Nominations that were not successful in the first competition, will be kept active for a further year (with no possibility of updating the file) and will be considered by the Doctoral Prize Selection Committee in the following year's competition.

Nominations

CMS aims to promote and celebrate diversity in the broadest sense. We strongly encourage department chairs and nominating committees to put forward nominations for outstanding candidates for research in the mathematical sciences regardless of race, gender, ethnicity or sexual orientation.

Candidates must be nominated by their university and the nominator is responsible for preparing the documentation described below, and submitting the nomination to the address below. The deadline for the receipt of nominations is indicated above.

The documentation shall consist of:

- A curriculum vitae prepared by the student.
- A resumé of the student's work written by the student and which must not exceed ten pages. The resumé should include a brief description of the thesis and why it is important, as well as of any other contributions made by the student while a doctoral student.
- Three letters of recommendation of which one should be from the thesis advisor and one from an external reviewer. A copy of the external examiner's report may be substituted for the latter. More than three letters of recommendation are not accepted.

All documentation, including letters of recommendation, should be submitted electronically, preferably in PDF format, by the deadline date above, to docprize@cms.math.ca.